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In Brief
Protein ubiquitylation has been implicated in pathways by which cellular stress induces the formation of stress granules (SGs) and affects protein homeostasis through the ubiquitin proteasome system. Markmiller et al. show that ubiquitylation is dispensable for SG dynamics and that SGs co-localize primarily with free ubiquitin rather than polyubiquitylated proteins.
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SUMMARY

Stress granule (SG) formation is frequently accompanied by ubiquitin proteasome system (UPS) impairment and ubiquitylated protein accumulation. SGs, ubiquitin, and UPS components co-localize, but the relationship between the ubiquitin pathway and SGs has not been systematically characterized. We utilize pharmacological inhibition of either the ubiquitin- or NEDD8-activating enzyme (UAE or NAE) to probe whether active ubiquitylation or neddylation modulate SG dynamics. We show that UAE inhibition results in rapid loss of global protein ubiquitylation using ubiquitin-specific proteomics. Critically, inhibiting neither UAE nor NAE significantly affected SG formation or disassembly, indicating that active protein ubiquitylation or neddylation is dispensable for SG dynamics. Using antibodies with varying preference for free ubiquitin or polyubiquitin and fluorescently tagged ubiquitin variants in combination with UAE inhibition, we show that SGs co-localize primarily with unconjugated ubiquitin rather than polyubiquitylated proteins. These findings clarify the role of ubiquitin in SG biology and suggest that free ubiquitin may alter SG protein interactions.

INTRODUCTION

Cellular insults such as oxidative and heat stress that globally disrupt protein folding result in both the accumulation of ubiquitylated proteins and the induction of membrane-less stress granules (SGs) (Kim et al., 2015; Protter and Parker, 2016). SGs are enigmatic cellular structures that comprise translationally repressed mRNAs associated with a variety of RNA-binding proteins (Buchan, 2014). While the cellular function of SGs remains unclear, SG formation and SG resident proteins have been linked to human neurological disorders, including amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and frontotemporal degeneration (FTD) (Buchan, 2014; Dewey et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013). Genomic and proteomic characterization of both the SG RNA and protein constituents have revealed a marked compositional diversity in both SG proteins and RNAs (Jain et al., 2016; Khong et al., 2017; Markmiller et al., 2018). Examination of SG proteomes has revealed that proteins involved in regulating distinct post-translational modifications (PTMs) are often enriched within SGs. These findings suggest that PTMs may regulate either global SG dynamics or the recruitment of individual proteins into SGs and that targeting PTMs may be an effective strategy to alter SG dynamics (Ohn and Anderson, 2010).

Numerous lines of evidence have implicated protein ubiquitylation or other ubiquitin-like modification systems, like neddylation, as potential regulators of SG dynamics. First, components of the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS), including ubiquitin itself, have been shown to co-localize with SGs induced by a variety of protein homeostasis stressors (Kwon et al., 2007; Mateju et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2018). Second, proteasome inhibition and the concomitant increase in polyubiquitylated proteins results in SG formation (Mateju et al., 2017; Mazroui et al., 2007; Seguin et al., 2014). Third, genetic disruption or pharmacological inhibition of ubiquitin or neddylation components can disrupt SG dynamics in both S. cerevisiae and mammalian cells (Buchan et al., 2013; Jayabal et al., 2016; Kwon et al., 2007; Ohn et al., 2008; Seguin et al., 2014; Takahashi et al., 2013; Turakhya et al., 2018; Xie et al., 2018). Despite this evidence, several key questions regarding the role of ubiquitylation in regulating SG dynamics remain unanswered. While ubiquitin has been shown to co-localize with SGs, whether polyubiquitylated proteins themselves or proteins modified with specific ubiquitin linkages are recruited to SGs is unknown. It is also unknown how many of the ubiquitin-system components that co-localize with SGs require ubiquitin within SGs for their localization. The deubiquitylating enzyme USP10 is a well-characterized SG-localized protein (Ohn et al., 2008; Soncini et al., 2001). However, USP10 SG localization is determined by binding to another SG protein, G3BP1; and mutation of the UPS10 active site, which renders it incapable of removing ubiquitin from substrates, had little impact on its localization or overall SG dynamics (Kedersha et al., 2016; Takahashi et al., 2013). Despite the many links between the UPS and SGs, there has yet to be a demonstration that ubiquitylation of a specific SG protein is required for its SG localization or that overall protein ubiquitylation or other ubiquitin-like protein modification pathways are needed to form or dissolve SGs.

Here, we directly examine the relationship between protein ubiquitylation and SG dynamics. Interrogation of global protein ubiquitylation using ubiquitin proteomics approaches revealed...
widespread alterations to the ubiquitin-modified proteome upon arsenite-induced stress. Despite clear changes to some SG protein ubiquitylation, arsenite treatment did not result in global changes to known SG-resident protein ubiquitylation. Utilizing potent and specific inhibitors of either the ubiquitin-activating enzyme (UAE) or the NEDD8-activating enzyme (NAE), we demonstrate that active protein ubiquitylation or neddylation is dispensable for arsenite-induced SG formation or dissolution. We demonstrate that free, unconjugated ubiquitin localizes to SGs in a UAE-independent manner. Further, the SG localization of many ubiquitin system components is similarly unperturbed by UAE inhibition and the concomitant ablation of protein ubiquitylation. Taken together, our results clearly demonstrate that active protein neddylation or ubiquitylation is not required for SG dynamics and that unconjugated ubiquitin is the primary form of ubiquitin that localizes to SGs.

RESULTS

Identification of Resident SG Protein Ubiquitylation upon Arsenite Treatment

Sodium arsenite is one of the most robust known inducers of transient SGs that form rapidly and disperse within 2–3 h upon washout (Figures S1A and S1B). Arsenite-induced SG formation coincides with eIF2α phosphorylation and a global increase in ubiquitylated proteins (Figures 1A, S1C, and S1D). These results demonstrate that the timing of alterations to protein ubiquitylation largely mirrors SG dynamics, indicating a possible role for protein ubiquitylation during SG formation or dissolution. To identify proteins whose ubiquitylation may be critical for their SG localization, we utilized quantitative ubiquitin site-specific proteomic approaches to identify proteins whose ubiquitylation status was altered upon arsenite treatment (Sendron et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2011). HeLa cells grown in media containing 13C15N-labeled lysine were either untreated or treated with arsenite for 20 or 45 min or were treated with arsenite for 45 min, followed by washout and recovery for 3 h. Arsenite treatment did not result in overt changes to protein abundance, at least for the depth of proteome coverage achieved in this experiment. However, consistent with our previous results, arsenite treatment resulted in global increase in protein ubiquitylation, which was reduced upon arsenite removal (Figures 1A and 1B; Table S1). In cells treated with arsenite for 45 min, greater than 35% of all quantified ubiquitin-modified peptides increased or decreased in abundance more than 2-fold upon arsenite treatment or washout compared to untreated samples, indicating that, at a global level, SG resident protein ubiquitylation was not specifically altered during conditions that induce SG formation.

Figure 1. SG Protein Ubiquitylation Is Largely Unaffected by NaAsO2 Treatment

(A) Immunoblot of phospho-eIF2α, ubiquitin, and tubulin in whole-cell extracts from HeLa cells treated with NaAsO2 as indicated. s and l denote short and long exposures, respectively. (B) Log2 heavy-to-light ratios (log2 H/L) for all diGLY-modified peptides (top) and total proteins (bottom). Heavy-labeled cells were treated with NaAsO2 as indicated. (C) The fraction of all quantified diGLY peptides with >2-fold change in abundance upon NaAsO2 treatment or washout. (D) Log2 H/L corresponding to diGLY-modified peptides from ubiquitin. The individual ubiquitin-modified lysine that was quantified is indicated. Error bars denote SEM of multiple quantification events for a given peptide. (E) The median log2 H/L of all diGLY-modified peptides quantified from known SG proteins. Error bars denote SEM for all diGLY quantification events observed on known SG proteins. NS, not significant.

See also Figure S1 and Tables S1 and S2.
While our data suggest that SG protein ubiquitylation is not globally impacted by arsenite treatment, it does not rule out the possibility that the ubiquitylation of specific SG proteins governs their SG localization.

Acute Pharmacological UAE Inhibition Results in a Rapid Loss of Protein Ubiquitylation

Ubiquitin has been observed to co-localize with SGs, and ubiquitin system components have been demonstrated to both localize to SGs and regulate SG dynamics, implicating a role for protein ubiquitylation during SG formation or dissolution (Buchan et al., 2013; Kwon et al., 2007). However, a direct evaluation of whether active protein ubiquitylation is required to either form or resolve SGs has not been reported. To perform such an examination, we utilized a specific and potent ubiquitin E1 activating enzyme (UAE) inhibitor (TAK-243, also known as MLN7243, which we refer to as Ub-E1i) to acutely inhibit protein ubiquitylation (Hyer et al., 2018). The addition of increasing amounts of Ub-E1i to HCT116 cells for 4 h resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in polyubiquitylated proteins, with complete abrogation of observable polyubiquitylated material with Ub-E1i treatment above 0.5 μM (Figure 2A). Proteasome inhibition by MG132 resulted in the well-characterized increase in total protein ubiquitylation, which was completely blocked upon co-treatment with Ub-E1i. The addition of Ub-E1i resulted in a time-dependent decrease in polyubiquitylated material. Consistent with previous reports, Ub-E1i treatment was selective for UAE, as cullin neddylation was unaffected after 4 h of Ub-E1i treatment but was completely blocked by addition of the NAE inhibitor MLN4924 (TAK-924, which we refer to as N8-E1i) (Figures 2A and 2B) (Soucy et al., 2009). We next set out to establish the impact of Ub-E1i inhibition on individual protein ubiquitylation using quantitative, ubiquitin site-specific proteomics. Heavy (13C15N) lysine-labeled HCT116 cells were treated with Ub-E1i alone or in combination with MG132 for 4 h and then mixed with unlabeled HCT116 cells that were either untreated or treated with MG132. As expected, Ub-E1i treatment resulted in a robust reduction in the abundance of the clear majority of ubiquitylated peptides identified (Table S3). More than 80% of all quantified ubiquitin-modified peptides were reduced in abundance by more than 1.7-fold with or without MG132 treatment (Figures 2C and 2D). As had previously been observed upon treatment with proteasome inhibitors (Gendron et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2011), UAE inactivation had little impact on overall protein abundance after 4 h of treatment (Figure 2C; Table S3). However, a more complete characterization of low abundance proteins would likely reveal robust protein abundance alterations. As would be predicted upon UAE inhibition, all detected ubiquitin-linkage peptides were reduced more than 8-fold, indicating that Ub-E1i treatment reduces total protein polyubiquitylation, regardless of chain type (Figure 2E). A direct examination of the ubiquitylation status of known SG proteins revealed that Ub-E1i treatment resulted in a clear reduction of SG

(Figure 1E; Table S2). While our data suggest that SG protein ubiquitylation is not globally impacted by arsenite treatment, it does not rule out the possibility that the ubiquitylation of specific SG proteins governs their SG localization.

Acute Pharmacological UAE Inhibition Results in a Rapid Loss of Protein Ubiquitylation

Ubiquitin has been observed to co-localize with SGs, and ubiquitin system components have been demonstrated to both localize to SGs and regulate SG dynamics, implicating a role for protein ubiquitylation during SG formation or dissolution (Buchan et al., 2013; Kwon et al., 2007). However, a direct evaluation of whether active protein ubiquitylation is required to either form or resolve SGs has not been reported. To perform such an examination, we utilized a specific and potent ubiquitin E1 activating enzyme (UAE) inhibitor (TAK-243, also known as MLN7243, which we refer to as Ub-E1i) to acutely inhibit protein ubiquitylation (Hyer et al., 2018). The addition of increasing amounts of Ub-E1i to HCT116 cells for 4 h resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in polyubiquitylated proteins, with complete abrogation of observable polyubiquitylated material with Ub-E1i treatment above 0.5 μM (Figure 2A). Proteasome inhibition by MG132 resulted in the well-characterized increase in total protein ubiquitylation, which was completely blocked upon co-treat-
protein ubiquitylation across a diversity of individual ubiquitylation sites (Figure 2F; Table S2). Our results demonstrate that Ub-E1i treatment results in the rapid loss of more than 80% of all ubiquitylation events.

Active Protein Ubiquitylation or Neddylation Is Not Required for SG Formation or Dissolution

Having established UAE inactivation via Ub-E1i treatment as a powerful tool to rapidly and robustly ablate protein ubiquitylation, we set out to test whether SG formation or dissolution requires protein ubiquitylation. We validated that Ub-E1i treatment ablated the arsenite-induced increase in protein polyubiquitylation in three cell lines (Figure S2A). We utilized a previously characterized 293T cell line expressing the well-established SG protein, G3BP1, tagged with GFP at its endogenous C terminus using CRISPR/Cas9-based approaches (Markmiller et al., 2018) to track SG dynamics. Consistent with previous results, arsenite addition resulted in a rapid increase in SG formation, as determined by G3BP1-GFP coalescence (Figures 3A and 3B). Pre-treatment with Ub-E1i followed by treatment with two different concentrations of arsenite did not delay the kinetics of SG formation over a 2-h time course (Figures 3A, 3B, S2B, and S2C). Although Ub-E1i treatment has been reported to induce the unfolded protein response (UPR) (Best et al., 2019; Hyer et al., 2018; Zhuang et al., 2019), the addition of either Ub-E1i or N8-E1i alone did not induce SG formation (Figure S2B). However, Ub-E1i pre-treatment resulted in a small enhancement of SG formation at early time points, with lower concentrations of arsenite (100 μM), indicating that the added stress of UAE inhibition may accelerate SG formation under these conditions. Pre-treatment with the NAE inhibitor MLN4924 (N8-E1i), followed by arsenite treatment, did not affect SG formation at either of the tested arsenite concentrations (Figures 3A, 3B, S2B, and S2C). Taken together, these observations indicate that neither active protein ubiquitylation nor neddylation is required for initial arsenite-induced SG formation. We then determined whether protein ubiquitylation or neddylation was required for SG dissolution following arsenite removal. 293T G3BP1-GFP cells were pre-treated with DMSO, Ub-E1i, or N8-E1i for 1 h, and SGs were formed by treatment with arsenite in the presence of inhibitors for 1 h. SG dissolution was monitored after arsenite washout in media that lacked Ub-E1i or N8-E1i. While NAE inhibition has no measurable impact on SG dissolution, the addition of Ub-E1i resulted in a minor delay in SG dissolution (Figures 3C and 3D). We validated these results in HeLa cells using G3BP1 antibodies to monitor SG formation and clearance. As observed in 293T cells, UAE inhibition had no impact on SG clearance in HeLa cells (Figures 3G, 3H, and S2D). We were unable to validate the small delay in SG dissolution upon the Ub-E1i treatment seen in 293T cells, as UAE inactivation had no impact on SG clearance in HeLa cells (Figures 3G,
It is possible that SG composition may change during longer exposures to stress and that features including the content and function of ubiquitin may be more relevant upon longer stress treatments. Therefore, we analyzed SG dynamics following longer arsenite treatment in HeLa cells (3 h at 250 μM) and found disassembly kinetics to be similarly unaffected by UAE inhibition (Figure S2F). Since UAE and NAE inhibition by Ub-E1i or N8-E1i is reversible in nature, it is possible that gradual enzyme reactivation could occur during SG disassembly. To address this issue, we examined the recovery of protein neddylation and ubiquitylation after pre-treatment of the E1 inhibitors and subsequent arsenite treatment followed by washout conditions with or without E1 inhibitors. In 293T cells, protein ubiquitylation and cullin neddylation were restored to ~20% of pre-treatment levels 5 h after E1 inhibitor removal (Figures S3A–S3D). By contrast, in HeLa and HCT116 cells, (Figure S3G). Taken together, our results clearly indicate that acute inhibition of protein ubiquitylation or neddylation has little to no impact on SG dynamics.

**Unconjugated Ubiquitin Co-localizes with SGs in a UAE-Independent Manner**

In accordance with previous studies, we were able to show that ubiquitin co-localizes with SGs by immunofluorescence (IF), using an antibody that recognizes both free and conjugated ubiquitin (Figures 4A and S2F). Because ubiquitin exists in a variety of biochemically distinct pools within cells (e.g., unconjugated, free ubiquitin, and lysine-48 linked polyubiquitin chains) (Clague et al., 2015), we set out to carefully characterize which forms of ubiquitin specifically co-localize with SGs. Staining with two different ubiquitin antibodies that preferentially recognize polyubiquitin chains under denaturing PAGE-western blotting conditions
did not reveal any significant co-localization of polyubiquitin with SGs in HeLa cells (Figures 4B and S4A-S4C) (Fujimura and Yokosawa, 2005), indicating that polyubiquitylated proteins are not enriched within SGs. This hypothesis is further supported by the finding that K48- or K63-linkage -specific antibodies (Apu2 and Apu3, respectively) also do not recognize antigens that co-localize with arsenite-induced SGs (Figures 4B and S4C). Instead, the IF staining signals from both K48- and K63-specific antibodies, as well as from the polyubiquitin-specific FK1 and FK2 antibodies, all appear enriched at a single perinuclear focus per cell, suggesting a partial compartmentalization of polyubiquitylated proteins outside of SGs during stress (Figures 4Ba and S4A-S4C). Underscoring the specificity of the IF signals obtained with the FK1, FK2, Apu2, and Apu3 antibodies, overall staining intensity was drastically reduced upon Ub-E1i treatment, consistent with the observed depletion of polyubiquitin chains after Ub-E1i treatment by western blotting (Figures 4Ba and S4A-S4C). By contrast, IF staining with the pan-ubiquitin antibody did not prominently label the same foci as observed with the polyubiquitin antibodies (Figures 4A and S4C), and overall signal intensity was slightly increased, while SG staining was unchanged upon Ub-E1i treatment. These results indicate that primarily free, unconjugated ubiquitin localizes to arsenite-induced SGs.

To validate these findings, we generated HeLa cell lines that stably expressed either mCherry-tagged wild-type ubiquitin (mCh-UB-WT) or mCherry-tagged ubiquitin in which all internal glycine residue was removed (mCh-Ub-K0GG). The K0GG variant is unable to be incorporated into chains or be utilized by the ubiquitin conjugation machinery, thus serving as a marker for free ubiquitin (Dantuma et al., 2006). Recapitulating the results obtained by IF staining, mCh-UB-WT strongly localized to a single perinuclear focus per cell, with no observable SG co-localization upon arsenite treatment (Figure 4C). When cells were treated with Ub-E1i prior to and during stress as described earlier, these large foci were largely ablated, and some co-localization with SGs was observed (Figure 4C). By contrast, mCh-UB-K0GG localized to SGs in a Ub-E1i-independent manner and did not accumulate in larger foci distinct from SGs. (Figure 4C). We obtained the same results in 293T cells stably expressing mCherry-tagged ubiquitin variants (Figure S4D). In summary, our data demonstrate that free ubiquitin is the primary form of ubiquitin that localizes to arsenite-induced SGs.

**Polyubiquitin Accumulates at Centrosomes in Response to Stress**

We next sought to characterize the structures at which we observed the accumulation of polyubiquitylated proteins in response to arsenite stress. Their subcellular localization was highly reminiscent of the centrosome, which has been implicated in the proteasomal processing of ubiquitylated substrates (Fabunmi et al., 2000; Wigley et al., 1999). Indeed, IF staining showed clear co-localization of the polyubiquitin signal with the centrosomal marker pericentrin (PCNT), as well as proteasome subunits (Figure 4D). Treatment of cells with Ub-E1i did not affect localization of PCNT or the proteasome, indicating that polyubiquitin is not essential for scaffolding or maintaining the centrosome-associated proteasome.

**Localization of UPS Proteins Does Not Depend on Polyubiquitin**

In light of these findings, we further characterized the effect of ablating polyubiquitylation on the subcellular distribution of several proteins associated either with stress-induced protein homeostasis or with otherwise known or proposed functions within the UPS. Strikingly, of the proteins we analyzed, only the localization of the autophagy-related protein sequestosome-1 (SQSTM/p62) was affected upon Ub-E1i treatment, losing its punctate appearance in what are likely to be previously described p62-positive deposition sites (Ganassi et al., 2016; Minoa et al., 2014) (Figure 4E). By contrast, localization of VCP/p97 was unchanged upon UAE inhibition, as was the SG localization of previously characterized SG-localized UPS components HDAC6, BAG3, TRIM25, and UBAP2L (Ganassi et al., 2016; Kwon et al., 2007; Markmiller et al., 2018) (Figure 4E). These findings are consistent with our hypothesis that protein ubiquitylation is dispensable for SG formation by showing that even proteins with demonstrated functions within the UPS associate with SGs in a UAE-independent manner.

**DISCUSSION**

Accumulating evidence suggests that multivalent protein-protein, protein-RNA, and RNA-RNA interactions are required to nucleate SGs and that SGs form as a result of liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) among SG components (Van Trecque and Parker, 2018; Wheeler et al., 2016). Indeed, many identified SG proteins contain intrinsically disordered domains or domains of low complexity that are critical not only for their SG localization but also for their ability to undergo phase transitions in vitro (Boezynaems et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2015; Mackenzie et al., 2017; Martinez et al., 2016; Molliex et al., 2015; Murakami et al., 2015; Patel et al., 2015; Riback et al., 2017; Xiang et al., 2015). Post-translational modifications on SG proteins could serve to either disrupt critical multivalent interactions or provide new contact surfaces that drive LLPS (Ohn and Anderson, 2010). Because ubiquitin can form polymeric chains and ubiquitin-interacting proteins are present in SGs, it was conceivable that protein ubiquitylation might regulate higher order protein-protein interactions critical for SG dynamics. Combined with previous studies, our results demonstrate that ubiquitin co-localizes with SGs nucleated in response to oxidative stress caused by sodium arsenite treatment. However, our results also clearly establish that acute inhibition of active protein ubiquitylation or neddylation does not directly impact SG formation or dissolution, despite the near ablation of overall protein ubiquitylation.

We show that the SG localization of several proteins associated with the UPS is equally unaffected by UAE inhibition, supporting the notion that polyubiquitin plays, at most, a minor role in SG biology. Nevertheless, a systematic characterization of SG composition under Ub-E1i treatment conditions would determine whether any proteins require active ubiquitylation for their SG localization. Our observation that ubiquitin remains detectable within SGs even after acute UAE inhibition could simply be the result of free ubiquitin localizing to SGs in a passive manner. Alternatively, it is possible that free, unconjugated ubiquitin may play a role in nucleating or modulating SGs. Consistent
with this hypothesis is the demonstration that the ubiquitin-bind-
ing protein UBQLN2 undergoes LLPS in vitro and that this trans-
ition can be inhibited by adding unconjugated ubiquitin to the reac-
tion (Dao et al., 2018). It will be difficult to demonstrate that free ubiquitin is critical for SG dynamics, given the inability to ablate cellular ubiquitin without catastrophic consequences to overall cellular function.

Nearly all chemical SG inducers disrupt protein folding and quality control pathways, resulting in the accumulation of polyub-
iquitlated material within cells. While our data show that polyub-
iquitlation is not a critical factor in the acute formation and disas-
sembly of SGs, it is likely to be important in determining how the SG response is integrated with the larger proteostasis system during stress. However, the type and extent of cellular stress will need to be carefully controlled to make definitive links be-
 tween proteostasis dysfunction and SG dynamics. For example, previous studies demonstrated that long-term (18-h) NAE inhibi-
tion or knockdown of neddylation components inhibits SG forma-
tion or knockdown of neddylation components inhibits SG forma-
tion (Jayabalan et al., 2016; Ohn et al., 2008). Near-complete NAE
inhibition using N8-E1i takes place on minute timescales when added to mammalian cells (Liu et al., 2018). As such, it is critical to separate observations using acute NAE or UAE inhibition from those using prolonged inhibition that will result in widespread cellular dysfunction. Clear temporal studies investigating SG compositional dynamics over long timescales during conditions that promote proteostasis dysfunction are needed to provide insight into how the proteostasis machinery, like the UPS, interact and cooperate with SGs during disease pathogenesis.
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Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:
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- **METHOD DETAILS**
  - S.I. labeling
  - Mass Spectrometry
  - Generation of cell lines stably expressing mCherry-
    tagged ubiquitin
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  - High-content imaging of SG time course plates
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### STAR METHODS

#### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-G3BP1</td>
<td>MBL International</td>
<td>Cat#RN048PW; RRID:AB_10794608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-G3BP1</td>
<td>EMD Millipore</td>
<td>Cat#05-1938; RRID:AB_10561767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-Ubiquitin</td>
<td>EMD Millipore</td>
<td>Cat#AB1690; RRID:AB_2180744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Ubiquitin</td>
<td>EMD Millipore</td>
<td>Cat#MAB1510; RRID:AB_461752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Ubiquitylated proteins (FK1)</td>
<td>EMD Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# 04-262; RRID:AB_11213557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Ubiquitin (FK2)</td>
<td>EMD Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# ST1200-100UG; RRID:AB_10681625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-K48 (Apu2)</td>
<td>EMD Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# 05-1307; RRID:AB_1587578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-K63 (Apu3)</td>
<td>EMD Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# 05-1308; RRID:AB_1587580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-pericentrin</td>
<td>EMD Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# ABT59; RRID:AB_10947564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-PSM5</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# PA1-1962; RRID:AB_2171717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-PSMB8</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# PA1-972; RRID:AB_2172350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-VCP</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>Cat# 10736-1-AP; RRID:AB_221635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-SQSTM</td>
<td>Bethyl</td>
<td>Cat# A302-856A; RRID:AB_10631138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-HDAC6</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>Cat# 12834-1-AP; RRID:AB_10597094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-BAG3</td>
<td>Bethyl</td>
<td>Cat# A302-806A; RRID:AB_10631035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-UBAP2L</td>
<td>Bethyl</td>
<td>Cat# A300-533A; RRID:AB_477953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-TRIM25</td>
<td>Bethyl</td>
<td>Cat# A301-856A; RRID:AB_1279507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospho-eIF2alpha (Ser51) (D9G8) XP Rabbit mAb antibody</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 3398; RRID:AB_2096481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse Anti-alpha-Tubulin Monoclonal Antibody, Unconjugated, Clone DM1A</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 3873; RRID:AB_1904178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Superclonal, Alexa Fluor 647</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# A27040; RRID:AB_2536101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Superclonal, Alexa Fluor 488</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# A27034; RRID:AB_2536097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Superclonal, Alexa Fluor 647</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# A28181; RRID:AB_2536165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Superclonal, Alexa Fluor 488</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# A28175; RRID:AB_2536161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-Mouse IgG / IgM (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# A-10680; RRID:AB_2534062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins

| **Sodium arsenite** | Sigma | N/A |
| **MG132** | Tocris | Cat# 1748 |
| **TAK-243 / MLN7243 / Ub-E1i** | Chemietek | Cat# CT-M7243 |
| **TAK924 / MLN4924 / N8-E1i** | Cayman Chemical | Cat# 15217 |
| **L-lysine:2HCL (13C6, 99%; 15N2, 99%)** | Cambrige Isotope Labs | Cat# CNLM-291 |

#### Deposited Data

| **Quantitative mass spectrometry data** | This paper | MassIVE repository (https://massive.ucsd.edu/ProteoSAFe/static/massive.jsp) accession MassIVE: MSV000082933 |

#### Experimental Models: Cell Lines

| **Human Lenti-X 293T cells** | Clontech | Cat#632180 |
| **Human HCT116** | ENCODE Project | N/A |
| **Human HeLa-S3 cells** | Bennett Lab | N/A |

#### Software and Algorithms

| **CellProfiler** | Carpenter et al., 2006 | https://cellprofiler.org/ |
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Eric J. Bennett (e1bennett@ucsd.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Immortalized human cell lines were utilized in this study. The Lenti-X HEK293T cell line is derived from human female tissue, the HCT116 cell line is derived from human colorectal carcinoma tissue, and HeLa S3 cells are derived from human female cervical adenocarcinoma tissue. All cell lines were grown in complete DMEM media (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified incubator under 5% CO₂.

METHOD DETAILS

SILAC labeling

For stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) experiments, cells were cultured in custom DMEM without arginine or lysine (Mediatech) supplemented with 10% dialyzed FBS (Life Technologies), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, L-Arginine hydrochloride (85µg/ml Sigma) and either “light” L-Lysine hydrochloride (50µg/ml Sigma) or heavy 13C6,15N2 L-Lysine-hydrochloride (50µg/ml Cambridge Isotopes) and 292µg/mL L-Glutamine (Mediatech).

Mass Spectrometry

Heavy and light labeled cells were mixed 1:1 and processed for proteomics and diGLY-immuno-affinity enrichment as described previously (Gendron et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2011). Samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS using a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA) and all data was processed as described previously (Gendron et al., 2016). All RAW mass spectrometry data files have been deposited at the MassIVE repository using the accession identifier MassIVE: MSV000082933.

Generation of cell lines stably expressing mCherry-tagged ubiquitin

For creation of stable cell lines, we generated a MSCV-N-mCherry-IRES-PURO gateway destination vector by replacing the Flag-HA cassette from MSCV-N-Flag-HA-IRES-PURO (Addgene #41033) with mCherry. Wild-type ubiquitin (Ub-WT) and ubiquitin in which all internal lysine residues were mutated to arginine and the c-terminal diglycine residues were removed (Ub-K0DGG) were cloned into the MSCV-N-Flag-HA-IRES-PURO retroviral vector by gateway cloning. Retrovirus was packaged in 293 Lenti-X cells (Clontech/TaKaRa) according to standard procedures. HeLa and 293T cells were transduced with retroviral particles and polyclonal cell lines with stable expression of mCherry-Ub variants established by puromycin selection.

Immunofluorescence, imaging and image analysis

For immunofluorescence experiments, cells were plated into 96- or 384-well optical bottom plates and left to adhere for 24-28 hours. After inhibitor and stress treatments, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS or PHEM buffer (60mM PIPES, 25mM HEPES, 4mM MgCl₂, 10mM EGTA, pH 7.4) for 15-20’ at room temperature, permeabilized in 0.25% Triton-X in PBS for 15’ at RT, followed by blocking in 2% BSA + 10% normal goat serum in PBS + 0.01% Tween-20 (PBST) and 0.3M glycine for at least 60min at RT. Primary antibodies were diluted in 1x PHEM buffer + 1% BSA + 5% normal goat serum and incubated either at RT for 1-4 hours or overnight at 4°C. After 2 washes in PBST, cells were incubated with secondary antibodies in PBST + DAPI for 30-60min at RT, followed by two washes in PBST and final resuspension in 50% glycerol in PBS. Cells were then imaged either at 20X or 40X magnification on a ZEISS Axio Vert.A1 inverted microscope with equipped with a Colibri LED light source and Apotome optical sectioning module. Images were processed in ImageJ.

High-content imaging of SG time course plates

96- or 384-well plates were imaged using a Vala Sciences IC200-KIC high-content screening system. Either four or nine fields at the center of each well were imaged with a 20X objective through 460 nm and 535 nm emission filters for DAPI and G3BP1-GFP, respectively. Exposure times were optimized for each set of plates and applied uniformly to all wells.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Automated image segmentation and feature quantification

Images from SG assembly and disassembly time courses were segmented and image features identified and quantified using a custom CellProfiler pipeline (Carpenter et al., 2006). Briefly, nuclei were segmented and identified in the DAPI fluorescence channel images using a diameter cutoff of 9-80 pixels for HEK293xT and HeLa cells. Both nuclei count and total nuclear area were calculated for each image. For quantification of SGs, we first identified cell bodies by overlaying the GFP fluorescence channel images and tracing radially outward from the nuclei to the limits of the cytoplasmic G3BP1-GFP signal. The cell bodies were used as masks
for the subsequent SG identification to eliminate imaging artifacts outside of cell boundaries, such as background fluorescence or
dead cells. After masking, punctate structures within the cell body area were selected by enhancing speckle-like features that were
10 pixels in diameter for HEK293xT and HeLa cells, and these punctate structures were then annotated as stress granules. As for
nuclei, both SG count and total SG area were calculated for each image. We chose normalized SG area as the most robust metric
for SG dynamics. This measure was obtained by calculating the total area covered by SGs in each image and normalizing this by
dividing SG area by the total area covered by nuclei in the same image. Each experimental condition was represented by between
12 and 16 replicate wells, with between 4 and 9 images acquired per well. The mean and standard deviation were calculated across
all replicate wells and fields of view acquired per well, with a minimum of ~25,000 cells analyzed per condition.

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

The proteomics data reported in this paper are available for download from the MassIVE mass spectrometry data repository (https://massive.ucsd.edu/ProteoSAFe/static/massive.jsp). The accession for this dataset is MassIVE: MSV000082933.